arXiv:1104.0685v3 [math.AG] 28 Nov 2012

[1]
[2] Submitted to
Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan

Differentials of Cox rings:
Jaczewski's theorem revisited

By Oskar Kędzierski and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski

Abstract. A generalized Euler sequence over a complete normal variety X is the unique extension of the trivial bundle $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ by the sheaf of differentials Ω_X, given by the inclusion of a linear space $V \subset \mathrm{Ext}^1_X(\mathcal{O}_X, \Omega_X)$. For Λ, a lattice of Cartier divisors, let $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ denote the corresponding sheaf associated to V spanned by the first Chern classes of divisors in Λ. We prove that any projective, smooth variety on which the bundle $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ splits into a direct sum of line bundles is toric. We describe the bundle $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ in terms of the sheaf of differentials on the characteristic space of the Cox ring, provided it is finitely generated. Moreover, we relate the finiteness of the module of sections of $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ and of the Cox ring of Λ.

1. Part I, preliminaries

1.1. Euler sequence

In the present paper X is a normal irreducible complete complex variety of dimension n with Ω_X denoting the sheaf of Kähler differential forms on X. If $H = \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$ and $H_X = H \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ then extensions of Ω_X by the trivial sheaf H_X are classified by $\mathrm{Ext}^1(H_X, \Omega_X) = \mathrm{Hom}(H, H)$. A generalized Euler sequence defined by Jaczewski [**Jac94**, Def. 2.1] is the following short exact sequence of sheaves on X which corresponds to the class of identity in $\mathrm{Hom}(H, H)$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_X \longrightarrow H_X \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.1.1}$$

The dual of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}_X$ is called by Jaczewski *the potential sheaf* of X.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14M25, 13N05, 14E30; Secondary 14C20,14F10.

Key Words and Phrases. Cox ring, Mori Dream Space, Euler sequence, differentials, toric varieties.

[1] Dedicated to the memory of Krzysztof Jaczewski (1955—1994)

[2] Supported by Polish MNiSzW, grant N N201 420639. We thank Jürgen Hausen, Andreas Höring and Yuri Prokhorov for discussions and remarks. We are also greatly indebt to an anonymous referee who pointed out mistakes in an earlier version of this paper.

More generally, given a non-zero linear subspace $V \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$, setting $V_X = V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, we get a sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_V \longrightarrow V_X \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.1.2}$$

associated to the inclusion $V \hookrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$.

1.2. Theorem of Jaczewski

The following theorem was proved by Jaczewski, [**Jac94**, Thm. 3.1]

Theorem 1.1. *A smooth complete variety X is a toric variety if and only if there exists an effective divisor $D = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{r} D_\alpha$ with simple normal crossing components D_α such that*

$$\mathcal{R}_X = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1\ldots r} \mathcal{O}_X(-D_\alpha)$$

The divisors D_α are then the closures of the codimension one orbits of the torus action associated to the rays of the fan defining X.

The "only if" part of the above theorem was proved earlier by Batyrev and Melnikov, [**BM86**]. The proof of "if" part given by Jaczewski involved analysing log-differentials $\Omega_X(\log D)$ and reconstructing the torus action on X. A part of the argument is recovering the Lie algebra of the torus from the sequence dual to (1.1.1).

It has been pointed to us by Yuri Prokhorov that Jaczewski's theorem is related to a conjecture of Shokurov, [**Sho00**], and results of McKernan [**McK01**] and Prokhorov [**Pro01**], [**Pro03**], about characterization of toric varieties: see e.g. [**Pro01**, Conj. 1.1] for a formulation of the problem.

1.3. Atiyah extension and jet bundle

A particular case of the sequence (1.1.2) is known as the Atiyah extension and it is defined in [**Ati57**]. Namely, let us consider a Cartier divisor D on X, defined on a covering $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in I}$ of X by non-zero rational functions $f_i \in \mathbb{C}(X)^*$ satisfying $\mathrm{div}(f_i)_{|U_i} = D_{|U_i}$. In other words D is defined by a Čech cochain $(f_i) \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}(X)^*)$. Its Čech boundary $g_{ij} = f_j/f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_i \cap U_j)$, which is a Čech cocycle in $\mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$, determines the associated invertible sheaf, or line bundle, $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ (note that the order of indices in our definition of g_{ij} may differ from the one for transition functions in some standard textbooks).

The log-derivatives $d \log g_{ij} = dg_{ij}/g_{ij} \in \Omega_X(U_i \cap U_j)$ form a cocycle in $\mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X)$ which defines (up to the constant coefficient, which we will ignore since it does not change any of our computations) the first Chern class $c_1(D) \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$ and also determines the following extension, [**Ati57**, Prop. 12, Thm. 5],

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_D \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3.3}$$

The twisted sheaf $\mathcal{R}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is well known to be isomorphic to the sheaf of first jets of sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$, [**Ati57**, Sect. 4 and 5]. Indeed, let h be a rational function such that $s_i = hf_i$ is regular on U_i, for every $i \in I$, hence it determines a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Then, because $s_j = g_{ij}s_i$, we have the relation $g_{ij}ds_i + s_i dg_{ij} = ds_j$. Thus the pair (ds_i, s_i) is the associated jet section of $\mathcal{R}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D)$.
By the construction, the twisted sequence (1.3.3), which now is as follows:

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}(D) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(D) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3.4}$$

splits as a sequence of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$-modules (but not $\mathcal{O}$-modules), see [**Ati57**, Sect. 4], and this yields the exact sequence of global sections:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}_D \otimes \mathcal{O}(D)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D)) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.3.5}$$

Jets of sections of $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ can be seen as differentials on the total space of the dual bundle. In fact, in [**KPSW00**, Sect. 2.1] it is shown that the sequence (1.3.3) arises from the sequence of sheaves of differentials associated to the projection of an associated $\mathbb{C}^*$ bundle to X. This observation will be elaborated later in the second part of the paper.

1.4. Cox rings

From now on we assume that the variety X is projective. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathrm{CDiv}(X)$ be a finitely generated group of Cartier divisors. We will assume that Λ is free of rank r, so that $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r$. The elements of Λ will be denoted by λ or by D_λ, if we want to underline that they are divisors. In fact, in the course of our arguments we will fix a covering $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i\in I}$ and represent in this covering the generators D_λ of Λ by rational functions $f_i^\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(X)^*$, which will imply a presentation of Λ as a subgroup of Čech cochains $\mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}(X)^*)$.
We assume that the first Chern class map $c_1 : \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$, defined as $\Lambda \ni D_\lambda \mapsto c_1(\mathcal{O}(D_\lambda)) \in \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$, is an injection so, by abuse, we will identify Λ with a lattice in $\mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$. We define the following objects related to Λ:

- the subspace in cohomology $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \Lambda \otimes \mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$,
- the sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\Lambda_X = \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ arising as the middle term in the sequence (1.1.2) for $V = \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$, so that we have the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\Lambda \longrightarrow \Lambda_X \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.4.6}$$

- the algebraic torus $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_{alg}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$.

The torus $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ acts on the graded ring of rational functions $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} S^\lambda$ where

$$S^\lambda = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda)) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}(X)^* : \mathrm{div}(f) + D_\lambda \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$$

and the multiplication is as in the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(X)$. We call $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ the Cox ring of the lattice Λ.

We note that the graded pieces S^λ may be zero for some $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In fact, we define a cone of effective divisors $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_\Lambda \subset \Lambda_\mathbb{R}$ which is the closure of the cone spanned by λ's with $S^\lambda \neq 0$. The cone $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_\Lambda$ is convex and, because X is projective, it is pointed which means that it contains no non-trivial linear space. Equivalently, there exists a linear form $\kappa : \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\kappa_{|\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_\Lambda} \geq 0$ and $\kappa(\lambda) \geq 1$ for every non-zero $\lambda \in \overline{\mathrm{Eff}}_\Lambda \cap \Lambda$ by [**BFJ09**, Proposition 1.3]. We define $\mathcal{S}^+_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\kappa(\lambda)>0} S^\lambda$ which is a maximal ideal in $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$.

Given a (quasi)coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules by $\mathcal{F}(D_\lambda)$, we denote its twist $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_\lambda)$. Next we define Λ-graded $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$-module of sections

$$\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda} \mathrm{H}^0\left(X, \mathcal{F}(D_\lambda)\right) \tag{1.4.7}$$

We will skip the subscript and write $\Gamma(\mathcal{F})$ if the choice of Λ is clear from the context.

The multiplication by elements of $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is defined by the standard isomorphism $\mathcal{F}(D_{\lambda_1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_{\lambda_2}) \simeq \mathcal{F}(D_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2})$, see [**Har77**, Sect. II.5]. Clearly, Γ_Λ extends to a functor from category of (quasi) coherent sheaves on X to category of graded $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ modules. The functor Γ_Λ is left exact.

For the proof of Theorem 2.8 we need the following observation.

LEMMA 1.2. *In the above situation, let D be a divisor on X, which is linearly equivalent to D_{λ_0} for some $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$. Then $\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{O}(D))$ is a free $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$-module of rank 1 which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda[\lambda_0]$, where the square bracket denotes the shift in grading.*

1.5. Characteristic spaces of Cox rings

The theory of Cox rings, or total coordinate rings, is pretty well understood, see for example [**Cox95b**], [**Cox95a**], [**HK00**], [**BH07**] or [**AH09**]. The reader not familiar with this subject may want to consult [**LV09**] for a concise review or [**ADHL10**] for an exhaustive overview of the subject. We note that in the present paper the starting set-up is somehow more general. That is, the rings are associated to a choice of Λ, hence our set up is similar to this of Zariski, [**Zar62**, Sect. 4-5]. Thus, we will say that the ring $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is the total coordinate ring of X if and only if the lattice Λ is naturally isomorphic with $\mathrm{Cl}\, X$, the divisor class group of X.

The following condition for the pair (X, Λ) is very convenient:

Assumption 1.3. *Ampleness of* Λ *and finite generation of* $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$*:*

1. Λ *contains an ample divisor,*
2. *the ring* $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ *is a finitely generated* $\mathbb{C}$*-algebra.*

We note that the above condition is true if either Λ is generated by an ample divisor or X is a Mori Dream Space (for example, a $\mathbb{Q}-$factorial Fano variety, see [**BCHM10**, 1.3.2]) and Λ generates the Picard group of X.
Under the above assumptions $Y_\Lambda = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is a well defined affine variety over $\mathbb{C}$ with the action of the torus $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$. Moreover, the ideal $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda^+$ defines the unique $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ invariant closed point in Y_Λ.
If $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is the total coordinate ring, then Y_Λ is normal, [**ADHL10**, Sect. I.5]
The relation of Y_Λ with X can be understood via the GIT theory, see e.g. [**HK00**, Ch. 2]. A choice of an ample divisor $D_a \in \Lambda$ determines a character of $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ and thus the set of semistable points of this action $\widehat{Y}_\Lambda \subseteq Y_\Lambda$, such that $Y_\Lambda \setminus \widehat{Y}_\Lambda$ is of codimension 2 at least. Moreover X is a geometric quotient of $\widehat{Y}_\Lambda$ by $\pi_\Lambda : \widehat{Y}_\Lambda \longrightarrow X$.
A slightly different view is presented in [**ADHL10**, Ch. I] and we will follow this approach. As in [**ADHL10**, I.6.1], the variety $\widehat{Y}_\Lambda$, now called the characteristic space associated to $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$, is constructed as the relative spectrum $\operatorname{Spec}_X \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda$ of the graded sheaf of finitely generated $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \widehat{S}^\lambda$ where $\widehat{S}^\lambda = \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda)$. Following [**ADHL10**], the sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda$ will be called the Cox sheaf of the lattice Λ and $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is the algebra of its global sections. The evaluation of global sections yields a map $\iota : \widehat{Y}_\Lambda \longrightarrow Y_\Lambda$ which is an embedding onto an open subset whose complement is of codimension ≥ 2, see [**ADHL10**, I.6.3]. On the other hand the inclusion $\mathcal{O}_X = \widehat{S}^0 \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda$ yield the map $\pi : \widehat{Y}_\Lambda \longrightarrow X$ which is a geometric quotient of the $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ action. In fact, since Λ consists of locally principal divisors, the map π is a locally trivial, principal $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$-bundle, [**ADHL10**, I.3.2.7].
The ideal defining the closed set $Y_\Lambda \setminus \widehat{Y}_\Lambda$ is called the irrelevant ideal and it is the radical of an ideal generated by sections of a very ample line bundle on X, [**ADHL10**, I.6.3].

1.6. Cox rings of toric varieties

The following theorem was partly established by Cox ("only if" part, [**Cox95b**]), Hu and Keel ("if" part, smooth case [**HK00**, Cor. 2.9]) and, eventually, by Berchtold and Hausen, [**BH07**, Cor. 4.4] who proved it in a stronger form than the one below. We recall that a variety X satisfies Włodarczyk's A_2 property if any two points of X are contained in common open affine neighbourhood, see [**Wło93**].

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a complete normal variety which has A_2 property and has finitely generated free divisor class group. Then X is a toric variety if and only if its total coordinate ring is a polynomial ring.*

We will need a slight variation of the above result.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a projective normal variety with with a lattice $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{Pic} X$ containing a class of an ample divisor. If $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is a polynomial ring, then X is a smooth toric variety and $\Lambda = \operatorname{Pic} X = \operatorname{Cl} X$.*

Proof. The proof of the first part, that is of toricness of X, goes along the lines of the proof of [**HK00**, 2.10]. The references regarding the group action were suggested to us by Jürgen Hausen.
As usually, by n we denote the dimension of X and by r the rank of the lattice Λ. Thus, in the situation of the theorem, $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is the polynomial ring in $n+r$ variables. By the linearization theorem [**KP85**, 5.1] the action of $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$ on $Y = \operatorname{Spec} \mathcal{S}_\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^{r+n}$ is linear. By [**Świ99**, 2.4] $\widehat{Y}$ is a toric variety and the quotient of $\widehat{Y}$ by the torus action, which is X, is a toric variety again. The morphism $\widehat{Y} \to X$ is surjective and toric, therefore the set the rays in the fan defining $\widehat{Y}$ maps surjectively onto the set of rays in the fan of X. Thus, the rank of $\operatorname{Cl} X$, which is equal to the number of rays in the fan of X minus its dimension, is equal r at most. On the other hand, since $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{Pic} X \subset \operatorname{Cl} X$, it follows that $r = \operatorname{rank}\Lambda = \operatorname{rank} \operatorname{Cl} X$ and the maps of fans of $\widehat{Y}$ and X induces bijection on the set of their rays.
By fixing a basis in $\operatorname{Cl}(X)$ we can see the Cox ring of Λ as a subring of the total coordinate ring of X; both rings are polynomial rings in $n+r$ variables. The inclusion of rings can be explained in terms of their lattices of Laurent monomials in the following way.
Let $\widehat{M} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+n} \mathbb{Z}e_i^*$ denote the lattice of characters of the natural torus in Y, where e_i^*'s are dual to the primitive generators of the rays of $\widehat{Y}$ and let M denote the lattice of characters of the quotient torus acting on X. Let $\pi : \widehat{M}^\vee \to M^\vee$ be the morphism of lattices, corresponding to the toric morphism $\widehat{Y} \to X$, where $\pi(e_i) = a_i v_i$ for a positive integer a_i and the primite vector $v_i \in M^\vee$, spanning a ray of the fan of X. The dual of the map π fits into an upper exact sequence in the diagram 1.6.8 below.
The vertical arrows in this diagram come from the inclusion of $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ in the total ring of X. Namely, given an element in $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ which is a monomial in the polynomial representation of this ring, the associated effective divisor in the total coordinate ring of X will be $\mathbb{T}_M$-invariant. This follows because the linearization of the action of $\mathbb{T}_M$ on the graded pieces of $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ agrees with the inclusion $M \hookrightarrow \widehat{M}$. Therefore we get a map ι in the center of the following commutative diagram in which D_i'

denote $\mathbb{T}_M$ invariant divisors, each D_i associated to the ray spanned by v_i.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r, "\pi^*"] \arrow[d, equal] & \widehat{M} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook, "\iota"] & \Lambda \arrow[r] \arrow[d, hook] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+n} \mathbb{Z}D_i \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Cl}(X) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.6.8}$$

Thus the lattice $\widehat{M}$ is of finite index in the lattice $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+n} \mathbb{Z}D_i$ and, in addition, over $\mathbb{Q}$ the simplicial cones of effective divisors in $\widehat{M}$ and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+n} \mathbb{Z}D_i$ are equal. That is, $\iota(e_i^*) = b_{\sigma(i)} D_{\sigma(i)}$, where σ is some permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, r+n\}$ and b_i's are positive integers. By the commutativity of the left hand side of (1.6.8) we get that $\iota(\sum a_i \langle m, v_i \rangle e_i^*) = \sum \langle m, v_i \rangle D_i$, for every $m \in M$. Consequently we get that $a_j b_{\sigma(j)} v_j = v_{\sigma(j)}$, which implies $\sigma = id$ and $a_i = b_i = 1$ because the rays are different and a_i, b_i are positive integers. Hence $\Lambda = \mathrm{Cl}\, X$, equivalently $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is the total coordinate ring of X.

Alternatively, the latter statement follows from much more general results [**ADHL10**, 6.4.3, 6.4.4]. Thus $\mathrm{Pic}\, X = \mathrm{Cl}\, X$ which yields that X is smooth, see [**CLS11**, 4.2.6]. ☐

Although Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 give characterisation of toricness in terms of apparently different objects they turn out to be closely related. We will discuss this issue in the second part of the paper.

1.7. Differential forms and splitting of tangent bundle

We will use the standard definition of the module and the sheaf of Kähler $\mathbb{C}$ differentials, as in [**Mat80**, Sect. 26], which we will denote by Ω_A and Ω_X, respectively. The double dual, $\Omega_A^{\vee\vee}$ or $\Omega_X^{\vee\vee}$ will be called, respectively, the module, or the sheaf of Zariski or reflexive differentials, see e.g. [**Kni73**, Sect. 1]. We note that, being reflexive, over normal varieties the sheaf of Zariski differentials has extension property which means that all its sections are determined uniquely on complements of codimension ≥ 2 sets, [**Har80**]. That is, if Y is normal and $\iota : \widehat{Y} \hookrightarrow Y$ is an open subset such that $Y \setminus \widehat{Y}$ is of codimension ≥ 2 then $\iota_* \Omega_{\widehat{Y}}^{\vee\vee} = \Omega_Y^{\vee\vee}$.

Let us note that the theorem of Jaczewski or, more generally, any theorem about splitting of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$, see 2.8, can be considered in the context of splitting of the sheaf of Kähler differentials, Ω_X (just take $\Lambda = 0$). This question for complex Kähler manifolds has been considered by several authors: [**Bea00**], [**Dru00**], [**CP02**], [**BPT06**] and [**Hör07**], [**Hör08**]. For non-Kähler manifolds, a Hopf manifold of dimension ≥ 2, provides an example of a complex manifold whose tangent bundle (the dual of the sheaf of Kähler differentials) splits into a sum of line bundles, see [**Bea00**, Ex. 2.1].

2. Part II, results

2.1. The generalized Euler extension

In the present section we want to describe the generalized Euler sequence (1.1.2) in terms of Čech data. We fix an affine covering $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i\in I}$ of X in which all divisors in Λ can be represented as principal divisors. That is, for every $D_\lambda \in \Lambda \subset \mathrm{CDiv}(X)$ and $i \in I$ we can choose a rational function $f_i^\lambda \in \mathbb{C}(X)^*$ such that $D_{\lambda|U_i} = \mathrm{div}(f_i^\lambda)$. We define also $g_{ij}^\lambda = f_j^\lambda / f_i^\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U_i \cap U_j)$. The first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{O}(D))$ is represented (up to the multiplicative constant) in the covering $\mathcal{U}$ by the Čech cocycle $(d\log g_{ij}^\lambda) \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, \Omega_X)$. The choice of f_i's and hence of g_{ij}'s is unique up to functions from $\mathcal{O}_X^*(U_i)$.

Thus, in order to avoid ambiguity we fix a basis of the lattice Λ and f_i's for the basis elements. This determines the choice f_i^λ for every $D_\lambda \in \Lambda$ so that we have a group homomorphism of Λ into Čech 0-cochains of rational functions

$$\Lambda \ni \lambda \mapsto (f_i^\lambda) \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}(X)^*) \tag{2.1.9}$$

As the result, we get a homomorphism into Čech cocycles

$$\Lambda \ni \lambda \mapsto (g_{ij}^\lambda) \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \tag{2.1.10}$$

The map $c_1 : \Lambda \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(X, \Omega_X)$ is represented in the covering $\mathcal{U}$ by $\psi_{ij} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, \Omega_X(U_i \cap U_j))$ such that

$$\psi_{ij}(\lambda) = \psi_{ij}(D_\lambda) = d\log g_{ij}^\lambda = d\log f_j^\lambda - d\log f_i^\lambda$$

Sections of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ over an open $U \subset X$ come by glueing $(\omega_i, \lambda_i) \in \Omega_X(U_i \cap U) \oplus \Lambda_X(U_i \cap U)$, with $(\omega_j, \lambda_j) \in \Omega_X(U_j \cap U) \oplus \Lambda_X(U_j \cap U)$ and $\omega_j = \omega_i + \widetilde{\psi_{ij}}(\lambda_i)$, where the restriction of ω's and λ's to $U_i \cap U_j \cap U$ is denoted by the same letter, c.f. [**Ati57**, Sect. 4], and $\widetilde{\psi_{ij}}$ is an extension of ψ_{ij} to a homomorphism $\Lambda_X(U \cap U_i \cap U_j) \to \Omega_X(U \cap U_i \cap U_j)$.

2.2. Differentials of the Cox sheaf

Now, for a nonzero rational function $h \in S^\lambda = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda))$ we consider its local regular presentation in covering $\mathcal{U}$ given by

$$s_i = h f_i^\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \tag{2.2.11}$$

We will write $s := (s_i)_{i\in I}$. We claim that the pairs $(ds_i, s_i \cdot D_\lambda) \in \Omega_X(U_i) \oplus \Lambda_X(U_i)$ determine a section of $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda(D_\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}(D_\Lambda)$ which we call ds. Here we use the convention that $(ds_i, s_i \cdot D_\lambda)$ is identified with $(ds_i \otimes 1, D_\lambda \otimes s_i)$ as a section of $\Omega_X(D_\lambda) \oplus \Lambda_X(D_\lambda)$ over U_i. Indeed, since over the set $U_i \cap U_j$ we have $s_j = g^\lambda_{ij} s_i$, it follows that

$$ds_j = g^\lambda_{ij} \cdot ds_i + s_i \cdot dg^\lambda_{ij} = g^\lambda_{ij} \cdot (ds_i + s_i \cdot d\log g^\lambda_{ij}) = g^\lambda_{ij} \cdot (ds_i + s_i \cdot \psi_{ij}(D_\lambda)) \quad (2.2.12)$$

hence we get the statement. The map $s \mapsto ds$ is $\mathbb{C}$ linear and it satisfies the Leibniz rule. Indeed, for $s \in S^\lambda$ and $s' \in S^{\lambda'}$, we verify that

$$d(s\cdot s') = (d(s_i \cdot s'_i, s_i \cdot s'_i \cdot (D_\lambda + D_{\lambda'})) = s_i(ds'_i, s'_i \cdot D_{\lambda'}) + s'_i(ds_i, s_i \cdot D_\lambda) = s\cdot ds' + s'\cdot ds$$

Thus the map

$$\mathcal{S}_\Lambda \supset S^\lambda = \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda)) \ni s \longrightarrow ds = (ds_i, s_i \cdot D_\lambda) \in \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda(D_\lambda)) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \quad (2.2.13)$$

is a $\mathbb{C}$-derivation of the Cox ring $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$.

It is clear that the above construction of the map $s \to ds$ works also locally, for sections over an arbitrary open subset of X, hence it gives a map $d : \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda) \to \mathcal{R}(D_\lambda)$. Actually, the $\mathbb{C}$-linear map d can be described in relation to the jet bundles, which were presented in Section 1.3. The first Chern class of D_λ gives the following diagram with exact rows of left-to-right homomorphisms of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \Omega_X(D_\lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \mathcal{R}_{D_\lambda}(D_\lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda) \arrow[r] \arrow[l, bend right] \arrow[d, "c_1(D_\lambda)\otimes id"] \arrow[dl, bend left, "d"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \Omega_X(D_\lambda) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{R}_\Lambda(D_\lambda) \arrow[r] & \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D_\lambda) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \quad (2.2.14)$$

As discussed in Section 1.3 the upper row of this sequence splits as a sequence of $\mathbb{C}$-modules and the map d is the composition of the splitting map with the map of extensions.

The map d is a $\mathbb{C}$-derivation of the sheaf $\widehat{S}_\Lambda$. That is, for every open $U \subseteq X$, any section $s \in \widehat{S}^\lambda(U)$ yields $ds \in (\mathcal{R}_\Lambda \otimes \widehat{S}^\lambda)(U)$ and the map $s \mapsto ds$ is a derivation. Verification of the Leibniz identity is the same as in (2.2.12). Thus, we get the homomorphism of Λ-graded quasi-coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules $\widehat{\varphi} : \pi_*\Omega_{\widehat{Y}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose that we are in the set-up of section 1.5 so that, in particular, the pair (X, Λ) satisfies the assumption 1.3 and the characteristic space $\widehat{Y}_\Lambda$ with the projection $\pi : \widehat{Y}_\Lambda \to X$ is well defined. Then the map $\widehat{\varphi}$ fits into the following* *commutative diagram of* Λ *graded sheaves of* $\mathcal{O}_X$*-modules whose rows are exact and vertical arrows are isomorphisms:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \pi_*\pi^*\Omega_X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \pi_*\Omega_{\widehat{Y}_\Lambda} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\widehat{\varphi}"] & \pi_*\Omega_{\widehat{Y}_\Lambda/X} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \Omega_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda \arrow[r] & \mathcal{R}_\Lambda \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda \arrow[r] & \Lambda_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.2.15}$$

The lower row of the above diagram comes by extending the coefficients in (1.1.2) *while the upper sequence is the relative cotangent sequence for* π*.*

Proof. Let us recall that $\pi : \widehat{Y} \longrightarrow X$ is a locally trivial principal $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$-bundle. That is, for $U_i \subseteq X$ trivializing all divisors in Λ there is an identification of $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$-modules $\widehat{S}^\lambda(U_i) = (f_i^\lambda)^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$, as submodules of $\mathbb{C}(X)(U_i)$. Thus, having in mind homomorphism (2.1.9), we get isomorphism of $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$-algebras $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda(U_i) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)[\Lambda] = \mathcal{O}_X(U_i) \otimes \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$. Therefore, by the formula for tensor products [**Eis95**, 16.5], we get the canonical isomorphism

$$\Omega_{\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda(U_i)} = \Omega_X(U_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda(U_i) \ \oplus\ \Omega_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_\Lambda(U_i)$$

which splits the upper row over U_i. This splitting coincides with the splitting of the lower row over U_i once we note the standard isomorphism $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}[\Lambda]} = \mathbb{C}[\Lambda] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \Lambda$, which sends the derivative of the monomial $t^\lambda \in \mathbb{C}[\Lambda]$ to $t^\lambda \otimes \lambda$, see [**CLS11**, Ch. 8]. Moreover, under this identification the arrows in the upper row are identical with those in the lower row, c.f. (2.2.11). Thus the diagram commutes and all vertical arrows are now defined globally which concludes the proof of Lemma 2.1. ☐

The above lemma is a generalization of [**KPSW00**, 2.1]. In particular we get the following corollary

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose that we are in the situation of Lemma 2.1. Then the generalized Euler sequence* (1.1.2) *associated to* $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ *is the* $\mathbb{T}_\Lambda$*-invariant part (zero grading with respect to* Λ*) of the exact sequence of sheaves of differentials associated to the map* π*:*

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi^*\Omega_X \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widehat{Y}_\Lambda} \longrightarrow \Omega_{\widehat{Y}_\Lambda/X} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.2.16}$$

The next corollary follows immediately by the extension property of Zariski differentials, which was explained in Section 1.7. We recall that the Cox ring $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is integrally closed by [**EKW04**, Theorem 1.1].

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that we are in the situation of Lemma 2.1 and assume* *that the sheaf of Kähler differentials on X is reflexive. Then the $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$-module $\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ (see 1.4.7) is isomorphic to the module of Zariski differentials of the Cox ring $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$:*

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \simeq \Omega^{\vee\vee}_{\mathcal{S}_\Lambda}$$

Clearly, the sheaf $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ will not change if Λ is replaced by another lattice whose $\mathbb{C}$ linear span is the same as Λ. That is, if $\Lambda' \subset \Lambda$ is a sublattice of finite index then $\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda'} = \mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ and thus $\Gamma_{\Lambda'}(\mathcal{R}_{\Lambda'})$ is Λ' graded part of $\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$. Thus, if we are in the situation of Corollary 2.3 this gives a clear description of Zariski differentials on $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{S}_{\Lambda'}$ in terms of Zariski differentials over $\operatorname{Spec}\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$. On the other hand, the behaviour of Kähler differentials is much more intricate. This problem was studied in a special situation of $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ in [**GR10**].

2.3. Generation of the Cox ring

Let us apply the functor Γ defined in Section 1.4 to generalized Euler sequence (1.4.6). The result if the following sequence of Λ-graded $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Omega_X) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda_X) = \Lambda \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{S}_\Lambda$$

We compose the right hand arrow in the above sequence with $\kappa \otimes id : \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{S}_\Lambda \to \mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ where κ is the map defined in Section 1.4. We call the resulting homomorphism $\widehat{\kappa} : \Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \to \mathcal{S}_\Lambda$.

Lemma 2.4. *The homomorphism $\widehat{\kappa}$ is surjective onto $\mathcal{S}^+_\Lambda$.*

Proof. First we note that the image of $\widehat{\kappa}$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}^+_\Lambda$. This is because the map $\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda) \to \mathrm{H}^0(X, \Lambda_X)$ is zero. Next we use (2.2.14) to get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}_{D_\lambda}(D_\lambda)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, shift right] & \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{R}_\Lambda(D_\lambda)) \arrow[d] \arrow[dr, "\widehat{\kappa}"] & \\
\mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_\lambda)) \arrow[u, shift right] \arrow[r, "c_1(D_\lambda)\otimes id"'] & \Lambda \otimes \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_\lambda)) \arrow[r, "\kappa\otimes id"'] & \mathrm{H}^0(X, \mathcal{O}(D_\lambda))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.3.17}$$

The composition of the homomorphisms in the lower row is an isomorphism because for any effective non-zero divisor $\kappa(c_1(D_\lambda)) \neq 0$. Hence $\widehat{\kappa}$ is onto $\mathcal{S}^+_\Lambda$. □

We recall the following observation, which is classical and probably know since Hilbert's time.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{m\geq 0} \mathcal{A}^m$ be $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded ring. If homogeneous elements $a_1, \ldots, a_t$ generate the ideal $\mathcal{A}^+ = \bigoplus_{m>0} \mathcal{A}^m$, then they generate $\mathcal{A}$ as $\mathcal{A}^0$-algebra.*

Combining the two above lemmata we get

Corollary 2.6. *If $\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ is generated as $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$-module by Λ-homogeneous elements $m_1, \ldots, m_t$, then $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra with generators $\widehat{\kappa}(m_1), \ldots, \widehat{\kappa}(m_t)$.*

The above result can be put as a part of the following equivalence statement.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a projective, normal variety and $\Lambda \subset \operatorname{Pic} X$ a finitely generated lattice of Cartier divisors as in Section 1.4. Suppose moreover that Λ contains an ample divisor and the sheaf Ω_X is reflexive. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The Cox ring $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra.*
2. *The module $\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$.*
3. *For every reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ over X, the graded module $\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated over $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$.*

Proof. We proved the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). The implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is clear since $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ is reflexive. To prove the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is reflexive and $D_{\lambda_0} \in \Lambda$ is ample. Thus $\mathcal{F}^\vee(D_{m\lambda_0})$ is generated by global section for $m \gg 0$ or, equivalently, we have a surjective morphism $\mathcal{O}^{\oplus N} \to \mathcal{F}^\vee(D_{m\lambda_0})$ for some positive integer N. Dualising and twisting we get injective $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O}(D_{m\lambda_0})^{\oplus N}$. By left-exactness of Γ_Λ we can present $\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ as a submodule of the free finitely generated $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$-module $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda[m\lambda_0]^{\oplus N}$. Because $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is Noetherian $\Gamma_\Lambda(\mathcal{F})$ is finitely generated too. □

2.4. The theorem of Jaczewski revisited

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that X is a smooth projective variety and Λ a free finitely generated group of Cartier divisors, as defined in the section 1.4, which contains an ample divisor. If $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$ splits into the sum of line bundles, that is $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda = \bigoplus \mathcal{L}_i$, and for every $\mathcal{L}_i$ we have $\mathcal{L}_i \simeq \mathcal{O}(-D_{\lambda_i})$ for some $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$, then X is a toric variety, $\Lambda = \operatorname{Pic} X$ and D_i's are linearly equivalent to torus invariant prime divisors.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2 the module $\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_\Lambda)$ is free of rank $n + r$. Therefore, by Corollary 2.6 the algebra $\mathcal{S}_\Lambda$ is generated by $n + r$ elements. Since its dimension is also equal to $n + r$ it is the polynomial ring and X is a toric variety and $\Lambda = \operatorname{Pic} X$ by Theorem 1.5. On the toric variety X the Euler sheaf $R_{\operatorname{Pic} X}$ splits as a sum of line bundles associated to the negatives of all torus invariant prime divisors (c.f. [**BM86**] or Colloray 2.2). The theorem follows since such decomposition is essentially unique by [**Ati56**]. □

We can reformulate this result in the spirit of the original Jaczewski theorem, 1.1.

COROLLARY 2.9. *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety with* $\mathrm{H}^1(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X,\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$. *If the potential sheaf of* X *splits into the direct sum of line bundles, then* X *is a toric variety.*

PROOF. We note that, by assumptions, it follows that $\operatorname{Pic} X = \mathrm{H}^2(X,\mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated abelian group.
First, let us assume that $\operatorname{Pic} X$ has no torsion. In this case we let $\Lambda = \operatorname{Pic} X$. Then, because of our assumptions, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathrm{H}^1(X,\Omega_X)$ and therefore $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda = \mathcal{R}_X$ is the dual of the potential sheaf. Thus we are in the situation of 2.8 and the corollary follows.
Now, we consider the general case when, possibly, the torsion part of $\operatorname{Pic} X$ is non-zero, we denote it by G and we will show that it is impossible. The group G is finite. We can lift G to $\operatorname{CDiv} X$ and treat its elements as Cartier divisors. We define G-graded sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebras

$$\widehat{\mathcal{S}}_G = \bigoplus_{D\in G} \mathcal{O}_X(D)$$

where, for every Zariski open $U \subset X$, we define $\mathcal{O}_X(D)(U) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}^*(X) : \operatorname{div}_U(f) + D_{|U} \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$, and the multiplication is as in $\mathbb{C}(X)$. As in [**ADHL10**], see also Section 1.5, we define $\widehat{Y}_G = \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \widehat{\mathcal{S}}_G$, the characteristic space of $G \subset \operatorname{Pic} X$. The resulting morphism $\pi : \widehat{Y}_G \to X$ is étale with Galois group G. We note that this is a slight generalization of the well known construction of unramified covering, see e.g. [**BPVdV84**, Section I.17]
Now we use [**KKV89**, Lemma 2.2, Prop. 4.2] to conclude that $\operatorname{Pic} \widehat{Y}_G$ is torsion free. Since the morphism π is étale and the definition of the Euler sheaf is local (c.f. Section 2.1), we conclude that the Euler sheaf $\mathcal{R}_\Lambda$, where $\Lambda = \operatorname{Pic} \widehat{Y}_G$, is a pullback of the potential sheaf $\mathcal{R}_X$. By Theorem 2.8 the variety $\widehat{Y}_G$ is toric.
The finite group G acts freely on $\widehat{Y}_G$, however, any element of G has a fixed point on $\widehat{Y}_G$ by the holomorphic Lefschetz fixed-point formula (see [**AB68**] or [**GH94**]) which is impossible unless G is trivial.

□

References

[ADHL10] Ivan V. Arzhantsev, Ulrich Derenthal, Jürgen Hausen, and Antonio Laface. Cox rings. arXiv:1003.4229 [math.AG], 2010.

[AH09] Ivan V. Arzhantsev and Jürgen Hausen. Geometric invariant theory via Cox rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 213(1):154–172, 2009.

[Ati57] M. F. Atiyah. Complex analytic connections in fibre bundles. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 85:181–207, 1957.

[Ati56] M. F. Atiyah. On the Krull-Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 84:307–317, 1956.

[AB68] M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. A Lefschetz fixed point formula for elliptic complexes. II. Applications. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:451–491, 1968.

[BPVdV84] W. Barth, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven. *Compact complex surfaces*, volume 4 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.

[BM86] V. V. Batyrev and D. A. Melnikov. A theorem on nonextendability of toric varieties. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.*, (3):20–24, 118, 1986.

[Bea00] Arnaud Beauville. Complex manifolds with split tangent bundle. In *Complex analysis and algebraic geometry*, pages 61–70. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.

[BH07] Florian Berchtold and Jürgen Hausen. Cox rings and combinatorics. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 359(3):1205–1252 (electronic), 2007.

[BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan. Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23(2):405–468, 2010.

[BFJ09] Sébastien Boucksom, Charles Favre, and Mattias Jonsson. Differentiability of volumes of divisors and a problem of Teissier. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 18(2):279–308, 2009.

[BPT06] Marco Brunella, Jorge Vitório Pereira, and Frédéric Touzet. Kähler manifolds with split tangent bundle. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 134(2):241–252, 2006.

[CP02] Frédéric Campana and Thomas Peternell. Projective manifolds with splitting tangent bundle. I. *Math. Z.*, 241(3):613–637, 2002.

[Cox95a] David A. Cox. The functor of a smooth toric variety. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 47(2):251–262, 1995.

[Cox95b] David A. Cox. The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(1):17–50, 1995.

[CLS11] David A. Cox, John B. Little, and Henry K. Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 124 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.

[Dru00] Stéphane Druel. Variétés algébriques dont le fibré tangent est totalement décomposé. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 522:161–171, 2000.

[Eis95] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra, with a view toward algebraic geometry*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[EKW04] E. Javier Elizondo, Kazuhiko Kurano, and Kei-ichi Watanabe. The total coordinate ring of a normal projective variety. *J. Algebra*, 276(2):625–637, 2004.

[GR10] Daniel Greb and Sohnke Rollenske. Torsion and cotorsion in the sheaf of Kähler differentials on some mild singularities. arXiv:1012.5940 [math.AG], 2010.

[GH94] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris. *Principles of algebraic geometry*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994. Reprint of the 1978 original.

[Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.

[Har80] Robin Hartshorne. Stable reflexive sheaves. *Math. Ann.*, 254(2):121–176, 1980.

[Hör08] Andreas Höring. The structure of uniruled manifolds with split tangent bundle. *Osaka J. Math.*, 45(4):1067–1084, 2008.

[Hör07] Andreas Höring. Uniruled varieties with split tangent bundle. *Math. Z.*, 256(3):465–479, 2007.

[HK00] Yi Hu and Sean Keel. Mori dream spaces and GIT. *Michigan Math. J.*, 48:331–348, 2000. Dedicated to William Fulton on the occasion of his 60th birthday.

[Jac94] Krzysztof Jaczewski. Generalized Euler sequence and toric varieties. In *Classification of algebraic varieties (L'Aquila, 1992)*, volume 162 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages

227–247. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.

[Kni73] Carol M. Knighten. Differentials on quotients of algebraic varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 177:65–89, 1973.

[KKV89] Friedrich Knop, Hanspeter Kraft, and Thierry Vust. The Picard group of a G-variety. In *Algebraische Transformationsgruppen und Invariantentheorie*, volume 13 of *DMV Sem.*, pages 77–87. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1989.

[KP85] Hanspeter Kraft and Vladimir L. Popov. Semisimple group actions on the three-dimensional affine space are linear. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 60(3):466–479, 1985.

[KPSW00] Stefan Kebekus, Thomas Peternell, Andrew J. Sommese, and Jarosław A. Wiśniewski. Projective contact manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 142(1):1–15, 2000.

[LV09] Antonio Laface and Mauricio Velasco. A survey on Cox rings. *Geom. Dedicata*, 139:269–287, 2009.

[Mat80] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative algebra*, volume 56 of *Mathematics Lecture Note Series*. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., second edition, 1980.

[McK01] James McKernan. A simple characterisation of toric varieties. In *Proc. Algebraic Geom. Symp.*, pages 59–72. Kinosaki, 2001.

[Pro03] Yu. G. Prokhorov. An application of a formula for the canonical divisor. *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova*, 241(Teor. Chisel, Algebra i Algebr. Geom.):210–217, 2003.

[Pro01] Yuri G. Prokhorov. On a conjecture of Shokurov: characterization of toric varieties. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, 53(4):581–592, 2001.

[Sho00] V. V. Shokurov. Complements on surfaces. *J. Math. Sci. (New York)*, 102(2):3876–3932, 2000. Algebraic geometry, 10.

[Świ99] Joanna Święcicka. Quotients of toric varieties by actions of subtori. *Colloq. Math.*, 82(1):105–116, 1999.

[Wło93] Jarosław Włodarczyk. Embeddings in toric varieties and prevarieties. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 2(4):705–726, 1993.

[Zar62] Oscar Zariski. The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 76:560–615, 1962.